Bathroom Reader



Issue 18, Mid-October 2015

WINE FOR WHISKERS



The Student Legal Animal Defense Fund (SALDF),

having its First Annual Wine for Whiskers event on Tuesday, November 10, 5:00-8:00 PM.

The next day is a holiday, so head down to the Pig & the Lady and try some yummy food and 15 different wines. It's \$60 for unlimited food and drink plus you get a personalized wine glass! Proceeds will go to a SALDF grant for Richardson students. Go to uhsaldf.org for more info!

HALLOWEEN CASES

These cases might not start with "The night was black, and a heavy rain fell[,]" Hewitt v. Spokane, P. & S. Rwy. Co., 402 P.2d 334, 335 (1965), but they sure sound like they could!

- Brown v. Ghost Town in the Sky, No. 01-CV-0189 (JG) (E.D.N.Y. Aug. 23, 2001).
- Essex Ins. Co. v. The Great Pumpkin, LLC, No. 9:07-CV-0470PMD (D.S.C. Feb. 26, 2008).
- Pumpkin Seed v. United States, No. CIV. 10-5063-KES (D.S.D. Nov. 3, 2010).

- Ghost v. Ghost, 490 P.2d 339 American (Utah 1971).
- (1867).
- 396 (D. Cal. 1874).
- (Okl. Cr. 1956).
- Headless Horseman Entities, Inc. v. AP Petroleum Corp., 9 N.Y.S.3d 536 (N.Y. Just. Ct. 2015).

Creepy!

PICTURES ON THE WALL

of Rastafari sitting upon his throne, we have pictures of some pretty well-known people.



Sabrina McKenna, entering class of 1979, is now a state supreme court justice and she was recently selected to receive the Daniel K. Inouye Trailblazer Award.

The award is presented by **National** Asian **Pacific** the

Bar Association. Among other things, the associa-• The Sea Witch, 73 U.S. 242 tion cited Justice McKenna's advocacy for billable pro-bono • The Witch Queen, 30 F. Cas. hours at her firm and her work to get free court interpreters in the • Pumpkin v. State, 295 P.2d 819 judiciary. Congratulations, Justice McKenna! The award is well-deserved.

HAUNTED HOUSE NEGLIGENCE?



What happens if a haunted house monster scares a patron so bad, she runs face-

Though they may not be first into a cinderblock wall and gets injured? One court had this to say: "Patrons in a Halloween haunted house are expected to be surprised, startled and scared by the exhibits but the operator does not have a duty to guard against patrons reacting in bizarre, frightened and unpredictable ways. Operators are duty bound to protect patrons only from unreasonably dangerous conditions, not from every conceivable danger." Mays v. Gretna Athletic Boosters, Inc., 668 So. 2d 1207, 1209 (La. Ct. App. 1996).

So, if you're scared easily, be careful. You might not recover!